THE SATURDAY EVENING POST.

Deacon & Peterson, Publishers.
NUMBER SIXTY-SIX SOUTH THIRD ST.

Two Dollars Per Annum, In Advance.
THREE DOLLARS IF NOT PAID IN ADVANCE.

EDITORS AND PROPRIETORS.

A FAMILY NEWSPAPER: NEUTRAL IN POLITICS.

CLUBS—FOR TERMS TO CLUBS SEE PROSPECTUS ON NEXT PAGE.

DEVOTED TO MORALITY, PURE LITERATURE, FOREIGN AND DOMESTIC NEWS, AGRICULTURE, THE COMMERCIAL INTEREST, SCIENCE, ART AND AMUSEMENT.

VOLUME XXXIV. PHILADELPHIA, SATURDAY, AUGUST 5, 1854. WHOLE NO. 1723.

Original Poetry.

TROPIC FRUITS.

WRITTEN FOR THE SATURDAY EVENING POST, BY MRS. M. A. DENISON.

Yellow bananas marked with brown,
Loosed with unity from a cluster hung,
Lay the hot roof with a luscious down,
Marking its waving lines,
Lured with a delicate creamy fair;
See how the hand of God
Given richer luxuries than gold or myrrh,
Unto the loamy sod.

Oranges, oranges, fresh as the day,
Lost as the garden's range
Of all the tongs of amber seeing away
Into a richer change;
With tiny threads of yellow mould,
Dripping from rich dew,
And it coaxing net-work of brown and gold
Covering all between.

Open the fragrant coal—the cells
Are bursting with luscious wine;
Drink deep of the nectar that never tells
The mercurial lure of the vine.

Its bright robes tinted with coral red,
And the autumn's glowing dyes;
I think by its richness and its ripe apple's head,
Twas the king fruit of Paradise.
How luscious its ruby flavors are!
Then under a tropic sun,
With never the breath of frost to sear,
Nor the cold ever wind to chill,
On the rich man's princely board I've passed
In the climes of the Northern sky,
But here by the poor man's daily board,
Shines the golden fruit in close array
Are the orange, banana, and pine.

Original Novelet.

MIRIAM, THE AVENGER;
OR,
THE FATAL VOW.

WRITTEN FOR THE SATURDAY EVENING POST, BY MRS. EMMA D. E. N. SOUTHWORTH,
Author, or "The Lost Heiress," "Vivia," and Husband or Author," "Shadowhaven," "The Lovely Child," "Curse," "The Discarded Wife," etc., etc., etc.

[Entered according to the Act of Congress, by Deacon & Peterson, in the Clerk's Office of the District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.]

CHAPTER I.

LUCKENGROOM.

A jolly place, 'twas said, in days of old.
SHAKSPEARE.

Deep, in the old primeval forest of St. Mary's, lying between the Patuxent and the Wicomico rivers, stands the ancient manor house of Luckengroom.

The traditions of the neighborhood assert the origin of the manor, and its quaint, happy, and hospitable customs, to have been—Ireland.

—That the founder of Luckengroom was Alexander Kalengs, a Polish soldier of fortune, some time in the service of Cecilius Calvert, Baron Baltimore, first Lord Proprietary of Maryland. This man had, previous to his arrival in this part of the New World, passed through a life of the most wonderful vicissitudes—wonderful even for those days of romance and adventure. He was born in the year 1600, in one quarter of the globe, educated in another, served in a third, and finally settled in the fourth. In his boyhood he was the friend and pupil of Guy Fawkes, he engaged in the gunpowder plot, and after witnessing the terrible fate of his master, he escaped to Spanish Flanders, and took service with the chief of bucaneer life. He afterwards returned to Europe, and then followed years of military service wherever fighting was to be had—terminating the soldier of fortune was ill-paid by his employers. He returned to England, and practised his learning, or as his surgeonic compatriots of "ill luck" could make them. He had learnt his trade in every country of his wanderings—(one might have thought that good luck was the last term from that wielded by Aesculapius)—he had "English, Irish, and (very rarely) Irish" on every battle field in Europe, and fled himself at the end of his military career richer than he was at its beginning—a lesson sufficiently encouraging to induce the Lord Proprietary the grant of the "Luckengroom." It is said that when the soldier went with some companions to take a look at his new possessions, he was so pleased with the place, that a glad smile broke over his face, and he exclaimed, "Here will I settle down and rest!" Whether this remark, uttered in a moment of enthusiasm, was prophetic or not, it is certain that Alexander Kalengs christened his estate Luckengroom, and that he married a lady of the name (

Miscellaneous.

[illegible]

SATURDAY EVENING POST.

HENRY PETERSON, EDITOR.

PHILADELPHIA

SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER 6, 1856.

TERMS, &c.

The terms of the POST'S continuation that is to remain—$2, if unpaid in advance. For $5 in advance, one copy to one thousand years. We can afford to continue the paper on these terms, as we expect to be the only one extant, and in the country to one thousand years hence.

Clubs:

4 Copies, - - - - - $5,00 Per Annum.
8 " and one to the getter
up of the Club,) - - $10,00 "
12 " (and one to the getter
up of the Club,) - - $15,00 "
20 " (and one to the getter
up of the Club,) - - $20,00 "

Advertising in the POST has been lucrative hereto-fore, and the money and names for a Club, may add new names to it at the same rate, provided the latter are for larger amounts than those of the three per cent. a month; and the buyer will then easily put his own well-known name before them. Any thing else subject to have all the subscriptions in each Club, and at the same time, stopped when the Club expires.

The Money for Clubs must always be sent in advance. When the sum is large, it is hopeful the capitalist should be divided, of which may be deducted from the amount.

Subscribers wishing their papers changed, will please give the name of the Post-Office to be changed *from*, as well as that to which it is to be changed *to*.

We can point to several within the circle of our acquaintance who have been hurried to bankruptcy, by paying these exorbitant rates, and there is not a fair chance that parties who are not thereof, for 1 and 2 per cent. a month. It is easy to say a man is a fool to submit to the extortion, but it is not so easy to enter into the feelings of a man who must pay a note to-day, and in a bankrupt issue, it is paid. He will submit to almost anything to meet it, rather than be sacrificed to say nothing with him. Immediate peril is impending over him, and it must be averted at any cost. If when he pays 1 per cent. a month, a suspicion that he is doing wrong crosses his mind, it soon vanishes under the sufferer's whisperings of hope. "Pooh! it is but for this time—I shall be all right again." He unwittingly believes that he wishes to be true, but takes the money as much needed, and obtains a temporary respite.

But four months—the usual time for which accommodation notes are made—pass quickly away. The man is in no better condition to pay than he was before, but his exigency is greater, for his direct is invoiced. Another enormous shave is submitted to, and by this time he has "stepped in so far" he can't retire, but must go on, although certain ruin stares him in the face. This is the fate of hundreds annually, and yet new victims are continually making their places.

The only remedy that we can at present suggest is, to avoid the note-shaver. If you can't meet a payment, go to the parties interested, and say so, and if they can't or won't relieve you, stop. It is a disagreeable necessity: but you had better stop at the beginning than to waste more than half your property in frolicing attempts to retrieve yourself. Two or three per cent. is as sure to kill as is the yellow fever. No man can pay it and live. No business—we speak of honest, legitimate business, not speculation—can survive under it.

THE INVENTOR OF RAILROADS.—We like the question asked—who was the inventor of the railway?—and have never heard it satisfactorily answered, and we believe there are very few a person in this country who know anything on the subject. Some few years ago, Hewitt, of the People's Journal, gave a sketch of the alleged inventor, who, up to May, 1856, had been neglected in England. While thousands had been enriched by his brilliant scheme, he had remained forgotten—forced by poverty to ask alms on commission, for a living. How many of the railway projectors, agitators, stockbrokers, &c., have heard of the subject of these remarks!

"About half a century ago—the exact year is not known—there was born in Leeds, England, a man named Thomas Gray. Scarcely anything is known of his early history, except, we believe, a poor collier; and being very ingenious, he conceived the idea of facilitating the transportation of coal from the mines to the colliery of Leeds, a distance of three miles, by means of a railway. This railway he constructed of wood. Upon this he ran merely at the rate of three and a half miles an hour, to the great merriment of a wise and discerning public, who laughed at the idea of a railway as something very visionary. And as the main suggestion of laziness. Poor Gray was otherwise. Magnificent visions of future railways, such as we now unquestionably witness, loomed up before him, and he began to talk in public of a general system of iron railroads. He was laughed at, and declared a visionary, hence struck fool. But the more Gray contemplated his little railway to the mines, the more he did he believe in the practicability and immense usefulness of his scheme. He saw to it all that is now realized. On he ventured, in spite of the ridicule, the sneers, and the rebuffs that were heaped upon him, to prosecute his undertaking. He petitioned the British Parliament, and sought interviews with all the great men of his day in vain. At all this time—for, except to bring down upon him, wherever he went, the loud sneers and ridicule of all classes, talk he persevered, and at length engaged the attention of men of intelligence and influence, who finally embraced his views, and, with pains, and the result is now before the world. Thomas Gray, the inventor, of railroads, who, not longer ago than 1820, was laughed at, and even mentioning the idea, still lives in Exeter, England, in the full realization of his hopes, and noble railroad schemes, for which he was declared insane. How much has the world been benefitted by his insanity!

ANIMAL LIFE IN A WARM CLIMATE.—The following description by Humboldt, gives some idea of the exuberance of animation, even in its lowest forms, under the genial rays of the tropics, in those burning climates, the beasts of the forest retire to the thickest of the bush and bury themselves beneath the foliage or the trees, or in the crevices of the rocks. Yet, amid this apparent silence, we find "Cheadle, the most continued murmur of insects, that fills, if we may use the expression, all the lower strata of the air. Nothing is better fitted to make a man feel the ancient and power of organic life. Myriads of insects crawl upon the soil and devour the plants, parched by the ardor of the sun. A continued noise issues from every bush, from the clefts of the rocks, and from the ground undermined by the insects, millipedes, and centipedes. These species are more intense, pitching that all nature breathes, and that to the a thousand different forms that is diffused throughout the cracked and dusty soil, as well as in the bosom of the waters, and in the midst circulates around us."

Clippings.

☞ The reason why so few marriages are happy is, because young ladies spend their time in making nets and in making cages.

☞ A certain Secretary of State being asked what for the time of year, replied, "Because until did not proceed."

☞ If an editor could be taxed to sell everybody, what a sum could be realized by selling such as the King of Naples, to sell.

☞ A country paper lately got up an account of a fire, headed, "Destructive fire. Several buildings, ten horses, and one ass, in ruins."

☞ He that cannot forgive others, breaks the bridge over which he must pass himself; for every man need to be forgiven.

☞ The phrase, "fighting on his own hook" is now more elegantly rendered, "sawing one upon the prudent inducements of his personal interest."

☞ Bonaparte said once, "Congymen consider this world only as a clogmen, in which their can never be another."

☞ It takes 1st little to get up a Missionary. A gimlet or whisky, a couple of cocoanuts, and a dozen shillelahs, and four feet of room, is all that is necessary.

☞ It was no lad boast that our friend Kennedy once carried a couple of pails on his arms, so that he might save himself the trouble of a yoke.

☞ He must be a simpleton a friend, and choose to change him—conscious to all sense yet man for his property, insane as man for the wealth.

☞ The Louisville Democrat says it is related that one of the Hardin county jury, lately accepted Judge Mud Ward, was recently taken up a snake, and that the snake died.

OUR CONTRIBUTORS.

Among the contributions to the Post during the year are a Scientific Lecture, by Grand Gentlewood and by Fanny Fern—Miriam, the Avenger: or the Fatal Vow, by Mrs. Southworth—Letters from Dunstable by Mr. Denison—Letters from Europe by C. G., &c., &c.

Choice selections from foreign and domestic Reviews, Magazines, Journals, the Literary Reports, &c., &c., shall be given as heretofore.

MIRIAM, THE AVENGER: OR, THE FATAL VOW.

We commence in the present number this original novel, by Mrs. Southworth. It opens finely, and promises to be an engrossing and thrilling story. We may whisper in our reader's ear—as we are forbidden to say so more—that the opening scenes are *founded on fact*, singular as they may appear. But then it was declared of old, that "Truth is strange, stranger than fiction." Certain enough, fiction is the paying a huge license, if the incidents of the tale are "founded on fact," and because fiction is by

SATURDAY EVENING POST.

PHILADELPHIA:

SATURDAY, AUGUST 9, 1856.

Congressional News

THIRTY-THIRD CONGRESS.

FIRST SESSION.

SENATE.

On the 10th, Mr. Pugh made a personal explanation in reply to Mr. Seward's letter, in which Mr. Pugh was referred to as "the Senator from Ohio, who left Washington the day before the 4th of July, and drew to be a warrant and undue delicacy of self-respect, which forbade him to remain here to be the object of the epithets that Seward had applied. After a short debate, in which Mr. Mason, in which the President of the Senate, in his place speaking then of a member of the House of Representatives, Mr. Pugh said that he had referred to Mr. Seward as a member of the House, Mr. Pugh asserted his right to speak of Mr. Seward as a Senator from the Senate on the 4th of July, the addition of the Fort country to Missouri, &c. Mr. Pugh said that he had left Washington on the 4th, and had subsequently considered in Indiana; and when he had returned to Washington he had not seen Mr. Seward. He had been unable to charge Mr. Benton with being a member of the House, and he was not aware that Mr. Benton had been guilty of the cold-blooded murder of which Mr. Pugh had been accused of pronouncing that speech. He considered his remarks till he had been provoked by Mr. Seward.

Mr. Mason presented the petition of Charles McKenney, a Revolutionary soldier, praying for a pension. Referred to the Committee on Pensions.

The Civil and Diplomatic Bill was taken up. The question was on the amendment of Mr. Hunter providing for the Washington Water Works. A long debate ensued, in which Messrs. Hunter, Mason, Bayard, Brodhead, Seward, Brown, and others participated.

On the 11th, Mr. Hamlin reported back the House bill, providing a land district in Iowa. Passed.

Mr. Stuart reported back the River and Harbor Bill, with amendments, and gave notice that he should call it up for consideration next week

Selected Poetry.

THE EXPECTATION.

[TRANSLATED FROM THE GERMAN OF GOETHE.]
BY MRS. E. BULWER LYTTON.

Rose! the crushing rain invites!
Thou dost long for sprightly!
Tender thou stood'st, withering, one,
Amidst the purpose dwelled.

Adieu (again), thou grove bedimming roof,
Thou, meadow, with thy many-colored bloom!
For here a tenderer prize doth wait
Of the holy light the beautiful rays,
And its crimson kiss of the delicate air,
What it can open here by very closest wooing.
Ah! it is open! how very closely it opens!
What! Beauty comes to Passion's trysting ground!

Wind through the copse in swiftly carpe—
—Thy tongue that comes to me!
The bird that through the branches crept
Spin the whisper of fear!

Day—sunset thy torch! come, ghost-like from on high,
Wakeful horrid silence, come, then hovering ove—
Rended below thy web of purple dye,
Which the lethal bought inwardest round me weaves,
For here's delight concealing! Oh come now,
The tenderest weapon of the light will find,
Deeper strengthen very silent one.
Ah! Love, how does thy secret seem familiar be?

What monster in the distance spake,
And like a whisper died?
"Twas but the voice that gently broke
In tinge the silver tide!

Sad as my one there comes a mourn—
Ah! plaintive mourner alone! (sighing!)
To Zephyr's kiss the flowers are bending low;
Through life goes joy, enchanting joy with all.
Tempt in the bosom thy presence, thou sweet one!
Voluptuous evening from the leaves that hide;
And drinking fever from my cheek, the sun
Air sleeps all liquid in the odor-tire!

Here! through the alley hear I new
A footfall? Comes the sweet? (
'Twas but the fleet sigh from the bough,
With its own richness filled.

The tenderer eyes of Thy darkling, alone
In reverent touch. His touch extinct; and, by!
The violated twilight, from her still caress,
Extends the chalice sweet to his glow
The bright flow of the heart is still and true,
Melts in vast oneness the world around—
Ah! sweet, each charm the entirely consumes me,
And sound all beauty, restless, rests the eye.

What yonder seems to glimmer?
Her white sister's glimmer? Yonder—
The solemn's silent shimmer
Answer the luminous power!

Oh, longing lover, no more delight unfeeling!
Let the sweet airy image thee below!
The rose and world's quiet are in the void
This lovelish instant no more shake on cool.
Oh, wait her here, mine own beloved one!
Let her my kind her love, the tender, fair—
The very slumber of her calm adieu!
Ah into life she who dreams, thou wouldst!

As glide from heaven, when heart we wear,
The rosy hours of bliss.
All gently come the mild senses—
He wished beneath her kiss!

Pictures of Life.

THE PARIS RAG PICKER.

[Bell Smith, in a recent letter from Paris to the National Era, tells the following romantic but true story:]

Dear Friend: During Lucy's last illness, as I was sauntering by the window the weary hours as they were slowly away, between midnight and morning, I saw some figure with a lantern passing from side to side in the dirty street, and frequently pausing as if in anxious search. Each had his light and stick, and as this light shone before, the back seemed bent, and at late a huge deformity, as if hump-backed. But, on looking closer, I saw that hump was a basket, and into this basket whatever they searched was exclusively thrown. I had never heard of such beings as these, and looked with intense interest upon them as they glided about apparently unconscious and unseen in the black, still life, just before dawn.

These were the chiffonniers or rag-pickers of Paris, of which Paris has ever twenty thousand—a respectable town that would be not Worthington, a city—and would have its professors, clergymen, merchants, and lawyers; would have its aristocracy, its exclusive circles, and city wars. Twenty thousand inhabitants would constitute a destiny; be represented in Congress, perhaps furnish a President or a Hawthorne. Capitalize, hundreds of the round figure; 20,000, would be read, and railroads stretch out their iron length to it from many directions. Twenty thousand rags and chiffoniers are twenty thousand inhabitants, except in Paris. They, too, have their history, their dwelling—their dwellings in the suburbs of the night, for at night only are they allowed, almost following their strange pursuits. But they have their history, written in blood. When the great State troubles, they come thronging out, fierce and ruffled, will to appear purposes, but with astonishing unanimity. They batter down palaces and mount the throne and Kings fly; and word goes out to the world that Paris has a revolution! The chiffonnier is lord there.

Some time since, a French author, as diatribe, published in political life an interesting romantic character the subject of a drama, that, in the hands of Frederic Lemaitre, the famous actor, had a run unequalled even in Paris. I never saw the piece performed, but, attracted by the name of Paris Pyat, the author, I bought it my last book in studying French for some months. I have wondered greatly at its not being translated and put upon the stage in America. The character of Jean, given by Mordach or Anderson, would be very effective. Twenty thousand people must have instances of individual romance, and the chiffonniers have their. An eminent physician at one time in Paris is now a rag-picker, and may be seen, when superintending to his brother chiffonniers, from heap to heap of gathered rubbish, instead in hand, like another Diogenes. One can almost read a strange history in his countenance. As he takes a closer view at some doubtful substance, and the light glance over his wife's shed face, one is startled at the stern impression of settled discontent—indeed of hatred and for the history.

The Count Rodolph Vesey was the husband of one of the most beautiful and fascinating women in Paris. The Count married her in a blind fit of love, greatly to the indignation of his family; for she was neither rich nor of noble position. He married for beauty, and was not disappointed in that regard. But he married more than the idol.

Diane was as talented as beautiful, and the passionate found at length that he had brought to his house a being far superior in spirit and intellect to himself. Vain and jealous as he was, the discovery became a terrible source of annoyance. His gorgeous house was rendered the most attractive in the city, and his dining with the centre at a wide circle, made up of wits, poets, statesmen, and artists; and no one could claim any position in the fashionable world, unless recognized by Madame, the Countess. She was the magnet, and the lord of the house and master was awkward and silly, and good natured friends soon taught him the fact that, after one-half of the establishment was created and admired, the other was avoided and laughed at —the old story of Samson and the Beast—and this beast was an ugly beast, and permitted some very bad treating to show it was not a lion. The old love gradually changed into a bitter hate. In our country—where this little drama is often acted, as well as elsewhere—the husband finds relief in dissipation; and the poor wife, not daring to follow, is left to be punished by seeing her better half's certain animal indeed. But Frenchmen have a French nature, differing decidedly from the Anglo-Saxon of human nature: and the Count Rodolph did not drink strong drinks, nor did he seek the gay of the Count betook himself to hard thinking, not for the purpose of improving his weak head, but to discover, if possible, the means by which to dispose of his beautiful superior. She was so very prudent in her conduct, so general in her attentions, that light could not, in decent delicate and indifferent a thing in Paris, could find no spot on which to rest. The Count was sorely perplexed. It also would only love some one—if the world only give him a reasonable pretext for divorce—what a happy man he proposed to be. This came at last—the pretext. I mean; for at this day the Countess is regarded as a saint in love—a purity in white kids. But the occasion for a rupture appeared.

Well, you see, what had all this to do with our doctor, the chiffonnier? Be patient: we will come to that directly. Among the suitors who surrounded the Countess was a rounded, shouldered, looked-round, badly-dressed individual, that one might call positive beauty. But this gentleman was witty, eloquent, and ardent gentleman and admirer—too generally so regarded, but no in fact. After one passed, if one could, the outer line of brokers and agents, they found sensual faults and quiet faults, full of nature's richest stores. He appeared wealthy, held a high official position, and had—no one would see unknown history. But he was known intentionally to our brilliant Countess.

When the Countess was no Countess, but a young girl, living with her mother, she was a very magnificent style, but to tell the truth, in a modest manner, the little light of which these pages do the name from which her blood flowed. The character of Madame Talbot and daughter were more distance from the world than the Countess with her legion of friends; the smallest fact brought to an unknown quarter, quite out of Paris. Ten years passed, and one until ten years thirty, then up a straight, quiet light, one just beautiful daughter, and one another passage, the little light of which these, you know not from which, and she died finally in a house and alone in the world. Then the wonderful quiet little Isabel—from the Talbot or by birth or having more could not be got clear of her—then you guessed a little, but not much, were deeply shaped, and lighted by a visitor in the room. Then the little Isabella in the little room, only with its one quiet—school, endless wait, who seemed to have a purpose, and to become acquainted with Madame Talbot and her beautiful daughter, I do not know: but the acquaintance was interesting and continued. He gave the daughter lessons, pondered the mother with good advice and several small presents of money, and, I suspect, was quite in love with its acquaintance—when Monsieur, the Count, came in, and carried away the prize. The modest wives of the light left him, and they were wide enough apart, and quite unknown to each other for many years; but the passion at the one, and the talent of the other, made them known to the world and to each, at last.

The Count was ignorant of this little history, as all were but the two interested. He only saw the brightened face and joyous manner with which this gentleman was received, the frequent in conversation, the letters he received, and he, and he made up his mind to the fact that his wife had at last found a friend. He was very civil to the gentleman, such as society did not please the gentleman, such as he anticipated. Indeed, he flew into a rage, even going so far as to consider himself ill used was, a victim to be pitied and comforted, if not revenged. Madame, the Countess, was very happy in the company of her strange acquaintance, and passed too much time there enjoying it. But the circumstances on which the husband acted were subsequently shown to prove her entirely innocent suspicion.

This lady and lover intended her poor misfortune upon her rich husband. Even her mother, long dead, was made to testify, and was removed from the sphere usually filled by mothers. But she had one relative dependent upon her beauty; a poor cousin, whom the breath made it almost impossible to serve—Without assuming her freedom, she was anxious to secure the unhappy youth a post under Government, by which he might support himself and relatives. This gave rise to a suspicious correspondence, watched over by the avaricious husband, who, at length, found the proper condition to think his fears confirmed, and set about his revenge. It was what a weak, cowardly cunning could devise—eminently true to its intent; eminently French in manner. He did not trade it all his wife, but merely to ruin and conquer her; and with this design determined to the her, upon a vain in her arm, to have her to have a physician sent for, under the terror of death, to hear her confession and prepare for forgiveness, and then call in medical aid to her relief. It was well planned, and, but the poor lady anything to confess, would have probably been accepted. But, fortunately, in a visit from the family physician, bade that quiet man be in attendance, without, of course, revealing the reason. The poor woman suffered from sleep to find herself bound hand and foot, and the object of standing over her. He did not scream or attempt to move, but, opening her large eyes, stared in fright and astonishment.

What is the meaning of this?" she faltered at last.

He replied, to make her confess, before dying, to her ingratitude and infidelity. She tried to plead, but, tried to consider it stupid jest, but the angered expression of his face made the idea too horrible. Then it came—her confession, and she buried her face in her pillow, gathering night, to where, under the little canopy, sewing her babe, pral. And a woman flag through the window. And, seeing a French horror, this all would be heard, he placed his hand upon her mouth. Looking at pleading eyes and flowing blood was certainly not a way to obtain a confession per every removal of his hand, followed by such piteous screams, that to make an end of it possible. Enraged at his interference from the first, he repeated the wounds, until his poor wife fainted from loss of blood.

The Count rang for the doctor; but the doctor, a kind, frank man, tired of waiting, had unceremoniously left the house, and the bell rang, believing his wife dead, hastily gathered up his own ball for his return. Court and chiffoniers are twenty thousand inhabitants, except in Paris. They, too, have their history, their dwelling—their dwellings in the suburbs of the night, for at night only are they allowed, almost following their strange pursuits. But they have their history, written in blood. When the great State troubles, they come thronging out, fierce and ruffled, will to appear purposes, but with astonishing unanimity. They batter down palaces and mount the throne and Kings fly; and word goes out to the world that Paris has a revolution! The chiffonnier is lord there.

Some time since, a French author, as diatribe, published in political life an interesting romantic character the subject of a drama, that, in the hands of Frederic Lemaitre, the famous actor, had a run unequalled even in Paris. I never saw the piece performed, but, attracted by the name of Paris Pyat, the author, I bought it my last book in studying French for some months. I have wondered greatly at its not being translated and put upon the stage in America. The character of Jean, given by Mordach or Anderson, would be very effective. Twenty thousand people must have instances of individual romance, and the chiffonniers have their. An eminent physician at one time in Paris is now a rag-picker, and may be seen, when superintending to his brother chiffonniers, from heap to heap of gathered rubbish, instead in hand, like another Diogenes. One can almost read a strange history in his countenance. As he takes a closer view at some doubtful substance, and the light glance over his wife's shed face, one is startled at the stern impression of settled discontent—indeed of hatred and for the history.

The Count Rodolph Vesey was the husband of one of the most beautiful and fascinating women in Paris. The Count married her in a blind fit of love, greatly to the indignation of his family; for she was neither rich nor of noble position. He married for beauty, and was not disappointed in that regard. But he married more than the idol.

Diane was as talented as beautiful, and the passionate found at length that he had brought to his house a being far superior in spirit and intellect to himself. Vain and jealous as he was, the discovery became a terrible source of annoyance. His gorgeous house was rendered the most attractive in the city, and his dining with the centre at a wide circle, made up of wits, poets, statesmen, and artists; and no one could claim any position in the fashionable world, unless recognized by Madame, the Countess. She was the magnet, and the lord of the house and master was awkward and silly, and good natured friends soon taught him the fact that, after one-half of the establishment was created and admired, the other was avoided and laughed at —the old story of Samson and the Beast—and this beast was an ugly beast, and permitted some very bad treating to show it was not a lion. The old love gradually changed into a bitter hate. In our country—where this little drama is often acted, as well as elsewhere—the husband finds relief in dissipation; and the poor wife, not daring to follow, is left to be punished by seeing her better half's certain animal indeed. But Frenchmen have a French nature, differing decidedly from the Anglo-Saxon of human nature: and the Count Rodolph did not drink strong drinks, nor did he seek the gay of the Count betook himself to hard thinking, not for the purpose of improving his weak head, but to discover, if possible, the means by which to dispose of his beautiful superior. She was so very prudent in her conduct, so general in her attentions, that light could not, in decent delicate and indifferent a thing in Paris, could find no spot on which to rest. The Count was sorely perplexed. It also would only love some one—if the world only give him a reasonable pretext for divorce—what a happy man he proposed to be. This came at last—the pretext. I mean; for at this day the Countess is regarded as a saint in love—a purity in white kids. But the occasion for a rupture appeared.

Well, you see, what had all this to do with our doctor, the chiffonnier? Be patient: we will come to that directly. Among the suitors who surrounded the Countess was a rounded, shouldered, looked-round, badly-dressed individual, that one might call positive beauty. But this gentleman was witty, eloquent, and ardent gentleman and admirer—too generally so regarded, but no in fact. After one passed, if one could, the outer line of brokers and agents, they found sensual faults and quiet faults, full of nature's richest stores. He appeared wealthy, held a high official position, and had—no one would see unknown history. But he was known intentionally to our brilliant Countess.

Wit and Humor.

CASES OF BAD LANGUAGE.

FROM THE LONDON PUNCH.

The Queen versus Lord Jane Russell.—Her Majesty's Attorney-General stated the case. Defendant spoke Her Majesty's English, by reason whereof Her Majesty was injured in her feelings, and supposed to intend to say wishes.

The defendant pleaded guilty, but urged that he was a foreigner, and had learned English from Matthews say, in a burlesque called *Bobs Hood*.

Lord Campbell said, that in the case of John Bull versus Orator Punch Regent the defendant pleaded that he was a foreigner, but it would not benefit better to say "oblige." The rule was clear. Had the defendant anything further to say?

The defendant said that he had some relation (his Lyonese Theatre, and had learned it from Matthews say, in a burlesque called *Bobs Hood*.

Lord Campbell said, that in the case of Rex versus Lord Chesterfield the defendant pleaded that he was a foreigner, and he was bound. In say that such object was usually attained—as to ridicule and was abused, by pushing obscurity to the point. The Court did not desire to be hard upon the defendant. Would the defendant wish to repeat the offence?

The defendant said that he would endeavor to conform to the customs of the day: but he believed that in Magna Charta—

He was here somewhat hastily removed into the Court.

The Queen versus Richard Cobden.—The defendant was charged with having spoken of the English syllable in the word in question, which he pronounced *ninth*.

The defendant said that he had not intended to give offence, and that he had heard the same pronunciation from the Treasury Bench in Peel.

Lord Campbell said that the Treasury bad no right to give an example to the people (*Laughter, in which nobody joined.*) The pronunciation was palpable—why did not the defendant say correctly and briefly?

The defendant was discharged with a caution.

The Queen versus Theresse Cabbee.—The defendants were charged with having said "they were going to a Derby," meaning Epsom.

The police gave evidence that this shocking pronunciation was of common occurrence, and gave great annoyance to the aristocratic circles in which they lived.

The defendants said that it was the ordinary pronunciation of the trading and lower orders.

Lord Campbell said that it was intolerable, and sentenced the whole of the defendants to be sent to their farm for an entire month.

The defendants were accordingly—one of them remarking that the sentence would be the death of him.

The Queen versus The Rev. Alfred Lattesoul.—The defendant was charged with having been "very going to" a certain place, on a whole afternoon, at the Conservative Club. He was proved to have said that the pain was a liar, that Mademoiselle Luther was a charming creature, that Lord Derby had come out strong about Canada, and that his defendant's wife was a downed sharp little fellow.

The learned counsel for the crown pressed for a severe punishment, as they said that the offence was so common as to render the place quite uninhabitable. The young ladies were longing about in their all-round collars, gobbling out the most ridiculous sounds, and fancying they were talking.

The defendant hoped that the Court would think it kindly matter what a parcel of rich faced old pumps thought on the subject,

Lord Campbell said that extraneous matter had been imported into the case on both sides. The Court had no jurisdiction over sailors or lawyers, and the defendant stood self-convicted. What had he to say?

The defendant said that a gentleman fined to his left had pronounced himself here to be a regular snob, and that he (defendant) had given the gentleman a sum-paying thrashing in the office and exhibition of wood. "Golly, mame, I can't sing!" "Can't sing! An' don't you go for to tell me, you young varmint of your fun for, like a bird's, it ye can't sing!"

Selected Articles.

To Keep, it is Not Necessary to Possess.—"What a pleasant thing it is," observed Mrs. D——'s garden," exclaimed our impressible friend Mr. L——, as he rose refreshed in one morning with a large, brilliant bouquet, all glistening with gems of flowers, and his cheeks flushed with the sweet air from Arsty the Blessed. She spent a day and night with her friend D——, and was evidently pleased with the visit. She was accustomed to possessing an acquaintance whose grounds flowering and fragrant with the choicest and most refined delight. "Oh, it is a heaven of a spot; I could wish in live there always, and never go to the city, never come to business." "Oh, thank you," her author replied, "and I did. But the defendant did not choose to be cooped out his conquest, who had not yet made his appearance. She sighed, and looked at him, and expressed possessing himself. I thought professional courtesy did not require any longer delay. So I arose, and laid before the Court my jury a plain, unvarnished statement of the case in hand, and was about claiming judgment for the plaintiff, when there was a sudden flurry in the courtroom, and the defendant exclaimed:

"Hold on—hold on!—by—by up a minute with me!"

I looked round, and saw my antagonist walking up to the bar. He had in his hand what I supposed to be a "lunger." He wore an old round-crowned, drab hat, with a low string tied around it for a band, with a cabbage, black pipe twisted in it, and "no-and-suspender" marked in figures with red chalk on the collar. His coat was of a short and very crooked stick over his shoulder, on which were suspended his coat and vest, and the world rounded out behind him nearly up to his knees: and he was without shoes or stockings. As he came up to the bar he gave a hitch to his pantaloons, and, with a twirl, wiped his steaming face with a dirty red-and-yellow handkerchief, and then "spoke out" upon the Court.

"Harry practice, this," said he, "to let a man have his property without saying a word. It rattles and confuses the minds of plain people like us, and have the talk all his own way! Why, I—I—I—